

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,957	04/13/2004	Kenichi Imamiya	89165.0014	3608
26021 7	7590 11/16/2004		EXAMINER	
	IARTSON L.L.P.		YOHA, CONNIE C	
500 S. GRANI	O AVENUE			
SUITE 1900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELE	ES, CA 90071-2611		2818	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>				
	10/822,957	IMAMIYA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Connie C. Yoha	2818	AV				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ag	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 April 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits	is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 21-38 is/are pending in the application	1.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-38</u> is/are rejected.	.,						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
CONNIE C. YOHA							
Attachment(s)  PRIMARY EXAMINER							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite atent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/13/04.	6) Other:	atorit Application (i 10-102)	•				

Application/Control Number: 10/822,957 Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This office acknowledges receipt of the following items from the Applicant:
 Papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) have been placed of record in the file.
 Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on 4/13/04 was considered.

- 2. Claims 1-20 is presented for examination.
- 3. Claims 1-20 is canceled.
- 4. Claims 21-38 are newly presented.
- 5. Claims 21-38 are pending.

## DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTION

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Art Unit: 2818

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 21-38 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6741499. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the reasons set forth below.

Claims 21-38 are rejected in view of claim 1-19 of the 6751122 patent. Similar to the claimed invention, claim 1-20 of the patent recites a nonvolatile semiconductor memory device having, memory cell array, a plurality of data latch circuits for holding the initial set-up data read out from the initial set-up data region; a controller for controlling data program and erase operation; a clock generator for generating a clock signal that is used to define an operation timing of the controller, wherein the controller is configured to performed as to read out a clock cycle adjustment data within the plurality of initial set-up data stored in the initial set-up data region in the beginning thereby adjusting a clock cycle of the clock signal output from the clock generator by use of the clock cycle adjustment data, and then read out the remaining initial set-up data by use of the adjusted clock signal. As can be seen, the patent protection for the claimed invention has already been granted to the earlier filed application.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,957 Page 4

Art Unit: 2818

## Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure. Ikehashi et al (6643180), Kawai et al (6751122) and Imamiya (6760256) disclose a memory device having controller and clock circuitry.

- 8. When responding to the office action, Applicants' are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.
- 9. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) day from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP 710.02 (b)).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to whose telephone number is (571) 272-1799. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. Fri. from 8:00 A.M. to 5:30 PM. The examiner's supervisor, David Nelms, can be reached at (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0956.
- Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/822,957

Art Unit: 2818

Page 5

system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a> should you have questions on access to the Private Pair system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C. Yoha

November 2004

CONNIE C. YOHA